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Abstract
The study estimated the prevalence and trends of chronic depressive symptoms among women in South Africa from the National Income Dynamics Study (NIDS) data collection waves (2008, 2010, 2012, 2014/2015). NIDS utilised the Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression (CES-D) scale to assess depressive symptoms among adults. From the trend analysis, we observed a decrease in self-reported significant depressive symptoms over the eight-year period in black women (2.66%), followed by mixed-race (coloured) women (1.15%). Across race, significant depressive symptoms were associated with socioeconomic status variables such as income, education, and occupational status. Within race, prevalence rates were higher among individuals with low socioeconomic status (lower income, less educated, and less skilled occupations). Black women were at a higher risk of exhibiting high-functioning depression due to their overrepresentation among low socioeconomic status individuals. Symptom prevalence may be explained largely by psychosocial stressors in patriarchal legacy communities.

Introduction
According to the American Psychiatric Association (APA), depression is typically defined through its symptoms, such as a depressed mood, loss of interest, low appetite, an inability to concentrate, insomnia/hypersomnia, and fatigue and may be present with suicidal thoughts (APA, 2013). Global health estimates by the World Health Organization (WHO: 2017) indicate that in 2015, depression affected an estimated 4.4% of the world population, mostly women (5.1%). Further estimates indicate that 1.9% of people have dysthymia or high-functioning depression (Charlson, Ferrari, Flaxman, & Whiteford, 2013). In developed countries such as the United States (USA), the prevalence of persistent depressive disorder (dysthymia) and chronic major depression are 0.5% and 1.5%, respectively (APA, 2013). The prevalence of major depression is quite high in the USA at around 6.7% (Center for Behavioral Health Statistics and Quality, 2017). The estimated prevalence of major depression using data from the South African Stress and Health Study is 4.9% of the population (Tomlinson, Grimsrud, Stein, Williams, & Myer, 2009). On the other hand, in developing countries such as South Africa communicable diseases tend to be more prevalent, and to be studied more intensively than mental health conditions. The influence of
depressive symptoms on personal functioning in developing country settings is in need of study.

Gender is a significant vulnerability for depressive symptoms. For instance, there is evidence to suggest that, as a result of biological factors, women have higher biological vulnerability to depression compared to men (Albert, 2015; Girgus & Yang, 2015; WHO, 2002). Periods of hormonal changes in women during puberty, post-partum, and perimenopause are associated with increased vulnerability for major depression (Albert, 2015; Girgus & Yang, 2015). Furthermore, environmental factors such as prolonged exposure to violence, poverty, domestic abuse, and sexual violence disproportionately increase risks for depression in women relative to men (WHO, 2002). These environmental factors vary in their salience by socio-cultural context. As examples, the South African National Burden of Disease Study 2000, indicate that unipolar (major) depressive disorders contributed 5.8% to the burden of disease in South Africa (Norman, Bradshaw, Schneider, Pieterse, & Groenewald, 2006). The South African Stress and Health Study (2002–2004) reported a major depression life-time prevalence rate of 9.8% (Stein, Seedat, Herman, Moomal, Heeringa, Kessler, & Williams, 2008). This study was conducted roughly a decade after the end of Apartheid, which was a system that created disparate social conditions among the South African populations framed by official racism.

In terms of point prevalence, approximately 16.1% of socio-economically deprived women exhibited depression symptoms. The life-time statistics are even higher at 33.2% for socioeconomically deprived women (Andersson et al., 2013). Even though it may be important for understanding the daily functioning of individuals, it is unclear from the literature how common high-functioning depression (or dysthymia) is in South Africa. High-functioning depression/persistent depressive disorder refers to a depressed mood for at least two years, for more days than not, for most of the day (APA, 2013). Bhagwanjee, Parekh, Paruk, Petersen, and Subedar (1998) reported that the prevalence rate of dysthymia (7.3%) exceeded that of major depressive disorder (4.8%). People with high-functioning depression may be regarded as those who chronically exhibit significant depressive symptoms (SDS). SDS refers to depressive symptoms that exceed the threshold of 10 using the CES-D10 (Vogelzangs et al., 2010).

**Study goal**

This study aims to fill this gap by estimating the trends and prevalence of high-functioning depression among historically deprived South African women. It sought to address the following question: What are the social risk factors affecting female vulnerability to high-functioning depression in South Africa?

**Method**

**Sources of data**

For this study, we utilised panel wave data from the South African National Income Dynamic Study (NIDS): wave 1 (2008), wave 2 (2010-2011), wave 3 (2012), and wave 4 (2014-2015). The NIDS data were collected from a nationally representative sample at both
individual and household levels. This study only considered respondents who were 18 years of age or older at the time of the interview. Table 1 describes the sample characteristics.

**Measures**
Depressive symptoms were assessed using the 10-item version of the Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale (CES-D; Radloff, 1977). The scale is scored on a 4-point Likert scale. The scale indicates the frequency of experiencing depression symptoms ranging from (1= rarely or none of the time (less than 1 day)), to (4= all of the time (5-7days)). A total score of ten or higher would indicate the occurrence of significant depressive symptoms. In the present study, scores from the CES-D achieved a Cronbach’s alpha of 0.76.

Since high-functioning depression is considered as having SDS (a depressive episode) for at least two years, we used this definition to measure the chronicity of depression by categorising the depressive episodes into three high-functioning depressive episodes; namely episode 1 (SDS in wave 1 and 2), episode 2 (SDS in waves 2 and 3), and episode 3 (SDS in waves 3 and 4).

**Data analysis**
For the data analysis, we utilised both descriptive analysis and regression analysis to assess the association between socio-demographics and chronic, significant depressive symptoms. Furthermore, we applied a probit analysis to assess the percentage probabilities of black women experiencing each of the ten CES-D depressive symptoms.

**Results**
Table 2 indicates the first chronic SDS episode measurement. This study estimates that roughly 10.47% of the participants were at risk of having high-functioning depression. The second chronic SDS episode measurement was lower with 7.78% (waves 2 and 3; 2010 and 2012) of the study participants being at risk of having high-functioning depression. The third measured episode of chronic SDS was marginally lower with 7.69% (waves 3 and 4; 2012 and 2014/2015) of participants reporting chronic SDS.

There is a positive but weak correlation between chronic SDS across waves (see Table 3). For instance, there was a positive correlation (0.3541) between individuals who exhibited SDS between the first two (2008–2010) waves and the subsequent two waves (2010–2012). This finding suggests the chronic nature of depression.

**Intersections between race and gender**
Black South African women made up the largest proportion (62.21%) of adults reporting SDS between waves 1 and 2. There was a decline in the share of black South African females self-reporting with SDS from 62.21% (2008–2010) to 60.34% (2010–2012) to 56.89% (2012–2014/2015) across the waves of the data. A similar trend across data waves occurred for Asian/Indian females (2.78%–0.59%; 2008–2010 to 2012–2014/2015), and white females (2.18%–1.53%; 2008–2010 to 2012–2014/2015).
Table 1. Sample characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wave 1</th>
<th>Wave 2</th>
<th>Wave 3</th>
<th>Wave 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>Proportion/</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>Proportion/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>6 038</td>
<td>56.61%</td>
<td>8 533</td>
<td>54.33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>7 878</td>
<td>43.39%</td>
<td>7 172</td>
<td>45.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black/African</td>
<td>12 606</td>
<td>75.77%</td>
<td>13 225</td>
<td>76.44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coloured</td>
<td>1 569</td>
<td>9.43%</td>
<td>1 624</td>
<td>9.39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian/Asian</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>2.86%</td>
<td>503</td>
<td>2.91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>1 986</td>
<td>11.94%</td>
<td>1 949</td>
<td>11.26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No education</td>
<td>1 625</td>
<td>9.77%</td>
<td>1 395</td>
<td>8.06%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary school</td>
<td>3 129</td>
<td>18.80%</td>
<td>2 938</td>
<td>16.98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary school</td>
<td>9 813</td>
<td>58.98%</td>
<td>1 407</td>
<td>60.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher education</td>
<td>2 070</td>
<td>12.45%</td>
<td>2 502</td>
<td>14.46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per capita monthly income (Rand)</td>
<td>7 269</td>
<td>3 207.46</td>
<td>6 763</td>
<td>5 058.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18–39 years</td>
<td>9 899</td>
<td>59.44%</td>
<td>10 243</td>
<td>59.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–59 years</td>
<td>4 802</td>
<td>28.86%</td>
<td>4 995</td>
<td>28.87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60–79 years</td>
<td>1 771</td>
<td>10.64%</td>
<td>1 878</td>
<td>10.85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 years and above</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>1.06%</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>1.07%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married/living with partner</td>
<td>6 234</td>
<td>44.94%</td>
<td>4 041</td>
<td>40.92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never married</td>
<td>6 065</td>
<td>43.74%</td>
<td>7 710</td>
<td>49.28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widowed</td>
<td>1 062</td>
<td>7.66%</td>
<td>1 077</td>
<td>6.89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced/Separated</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>3.66%</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>2.92%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. The table indicates the proportion of women who were suspected of being susceptible to having a chronic form of SDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Observations</th>
<th>Proportion</th>
<th>Std error</th>
<th>95% Confidence interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chronic SDS Female Wave 1 and 2</td>
<td>7 710</td>
<td>0.1047</td>
<td>0.0064</td>
<td>0.0922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic SDS Female Wave 2 and 3</td>
<td>8 340</td>
<td>0.0778</td>
<td>0.0050</td>
<td>0.0679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic SDS Female Wave 3 and 4</td>
<td>10 740</td>
<td>0.0769</td>
<td>0.0048</td>
<td>0.0674</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Table 3. Pairwise correlation of chronic SDS women

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Chronic SDS Female Wave 1 and 2</th>
<th>Chronic SDS Female Wave 2 and 3</th>
<th>Chronic SDS Female Wave 3 and 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chronic SDS Female Wave 1 and 2</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.0325*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic SDS Female Wave 2 and 3</td>
<td>0.3541*</td>
<td>0.2666*</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic SDS Female Wave 3 and 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: * shows significance at the .05 level
Table 4. Probit regression on Black African females using the CES-D (Average partial effect)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictors</th>
<th>Coefficients</th>
<th>Std error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bothered</td>
<td>-0.0230</td>
<td>0.0417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trouble focusing</td>
<td>-0.0573</td>
<td>0.0433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depressed</td>
<td>0.0280</td>
<td>0.0329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Everything an effort</td>
<td>-0.0364</td>
<td>0.0243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not hopeful about future</td>
<td>-0.0410</td>
<td>0.0239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Felt fearful</td>
<td>0.0999**</td>
<td>0.0343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restless sleep</td>
<td>0.0399</td>
<td>0.0289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not happy</td>
<td>0.0530**</td>
<td>0.0249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Felt lonely</td>
<td>-0.0398</td>
<td>0.0321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Could not get going</td>
<td>0.0583</td>
<td>0.0352</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; n = 642; Prob > Chi-squared = 0.0063 (The p-value is small, therefore at least one of the regression coefficients is not equal to zero); Pseudo R² = 0.0624
Source: Author’s own calculations using NIDS 2008 and 2014/2015 data.

Table 4 represents a probit regression indicating the probability of the ten depressive symptoms experienced by black South African females using the CES-D tool. If the frequency of feeling fearful increased by one unit, the probability that the adult was a black female increased by ten percentage points, holding other factors constant. The data in Table 4 suggest high prevalence of high-functioning depressive symptoms among black South African women (p < 0.01). If the frequency of feeling unhappy increased by one unit, the probability that the adult was a black female increased by 5.30 percentage points, holding other factors constant. The data on feelings of unhappiness were statistically significant among black women (p < 0.05).

**Neighbourhood and personal factors**
Regression 1 (in Table 5) presents probability estimates of females, self-reporting SDS between 2010 and 2012, experiencing a host of events. The results indicate that women with chronic SDS were 2.23 percentage points more likely to feel that neighbourhood theft was a common occurrence, which would explain their sense of chronic depression. Similarly, regression 2 indicates that women experiencing chronic SDS were 1.92 percentage points more likely to feel that neighbourhood gang activity was a common occurrence. This finding was consistent between waves 3 and 4 (regression 3), where 3
.18% of chronic SDS women felt that the occurrence of gang activities was common in their neighbourhood. This further underscores the point that depressive symptoms tend to persist among women living in disadvantaged neighbourhoods, where there are high levels of crime, violence, and victimisation of vulnerable groups like women.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Average marginal effects</th>
<th>Chronic SDS Female Wave 1 and 2</th>
<th>Chronic SDS Female Wave 2 and 3</th>
<th>Chronic SDS Female Wave 3 and 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trust neighbour</td>
<td>-0.0187 (0.0102)</td>
<td>0.0105 (0.0094)</td>
<td>0.0269 (0.0155)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust stranger</td>
<td>-0.0538 (0.0354)</td>
<td>0.0264 (0.0193)</td>
<td>0.0685** (0.2892)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighbourhood theft</td>
<td>0.0223** (0.0103)</td>
<td>0.0140 (0.0073)</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Importance of religion</td>
<td>0.0069 (0.0116)</td>
<td>0.009 (0.0059)</td>
<td>0.0050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighbourhood gang activities</td>
<td>N/A (0.0086)</td>
<td>0.0192** (0.0059)</td>
<td>0.0318*** (0.0076)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations</td>
<td>7,710</td>
<td>8,340</td>
<td>10,740</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Probit regression on neighbourhood factors risk factors for chronic SDS women

*Note. Standard errors are in parentheses; ***p < 0.01, **p < 0.05*

Although this study is unable to indicate how living in such neighbourhoods affects the ability of women to access mental health treatment, it could intuitively be hypothesised that their social environment does have some bearing on mental health access. Interestingly, the results indicate that females with chronic SDS are particularly trusting. It is estimated that chronic SDS females were 6.85 percentage points more likely to be trusting of strangers. This is particularly interesting, as it may seem counterintuitive that black South African women are trusting towards strangers whilst residing in socially in-cohesive, crime ridden, low trust communities. It may also be that a stranger (male or female), who does not live in their community may by default appear to be a more trustworthy counterpart in contrast to people who live in the same disheartening environment as themselves, who have proved to be untrustworthy.

**Discussion and conclusion**

The study found that the prevalence of chronic SDS among women in South Africa has decreased over the periods 2008 to 2014/2015. This decline has been particularly significant among the Asian/Indian and white population groups. Yet, we caution this finding as the Asian female sample was below 100 for all the years (and may thus not be representative). Similarly, the white female sample was between 100–200 respondents for the analysis (and may thus not be representative). Among women from historically disadvantaged communities, who primarily are mixed-race and black women, the results suggest initial declines (from the first to the second measured chronic SDS episode) of 1.9% and 2.88% in the prevalence, respectively. From the second to the third measured episode of chronic SDS, there was a slight increase in the prevalence rates for mixed-race women (0.75%) and black women (0.22%). Overall the prevalence of high-functioning
depression in a significant proportion of black and mixed-race women may be indicative of their unchanging social, economic, and personal circumstances.

There are a number of reasons why black South African females may be more susceptible to chronic depression. At the systemic level, the intersectionality of racial and gender subordination (Crenshaw, 1989) in post-Apartheid South Africa and historical legacies of patriarchy may explain the findings of this study. More specifically, women have less economic and social power in South Africa than males and carry heavy family responsibilities. In fact, compared to non-lone mothers, more women in South Africa are likely to be lone-mothers. They thus have to juggle family and work responsibilities, sometimes without any support from the father (Wright, Noble, Ntshongwana, Neves & Barnes, 2013). These groups of women (more often mixed race and black women) are further disadvantaged by living in neighbourhoods characterised by crime and gangsterism, where they often live in fear. The intersectionality of racial and gender subordination (Crenshaw, 1989) in post-Apartheid South Africa and historical legacies of patriarchy may explain the findings of this study. This social condition is germane to the discussion on women’s mental health in the South African context, as it is widely cited that living in poverty and facing neighbourhood violence exacerbates depressive symptoms (Dinan, McCall, & Gibson, 2004; Moodley, 2012, 2014; Segalo, 2015).

Study limitations
Limitations of the study include the use of the self-reported data which may be confounded by under-reporting or over-reporting of symptoms. For that reason, the study results should be interpreted with caution. Second, wave 2 had high non-response rates. Thirdly, the South African Asian/Indians were underrepresented in the sample. Fourth, a recall bias may exist as a result of the stigma attached to mental disorders such as depression. Future studies could focus on populations that are well represented in the NIDS study rather than attempting to encompass all the population groups in the study. In addition, future studies should not limit themselves by feeling the need to use all the NIDS waves. It is sufficient to exclude wave 2 (due to high non-response rates) and just focus on waves 3 and 4 which have less attrition, and less item level non-response.

Conclusion
In conclusion, we found the prevalence rate of chronic high-functioning depression among women to have marginally steadily decreased over the years, although significantly present among women from historically disadvantaged communities. Living in rough neighbourhoods and personal factors explained the prevalence of chronic SDS among the women due to feelings of fear and unhappiness. Persistent economic hardships may further exacerbate these depressive symptoms among women from disadvantaged communities.
Endnotes
1 Being at risk of having an SDS episode would be regarded as individuals who meet or exceeded the CES-D 10 threshold. We refer to the individual being at-risk of chronic/persistent/high-functioning SDS if this occurs in two consecutive waves. Therefore the first chronic SDS episode was defined and measured as adults exhibiting significant depressive symptoms in both waves 1 and 2 (2008 and 2010)
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