BACKGROUND

In seminal fluid, oxidants and antioxidants are secreted by different cell types. In particular, leukocytes and immature spermatozoa represent the main source of reactive oxygen species (ROS). In order to accomplish the various physiological processes, the redox balance is maintained by an equitable ratio between oxidants and antioxidants (Figure 1). On the one hand, a physiological ROS concentration is involved in the regulation of many physiological sperm processes such as capacitation, acrosome reaction, acquisition of hyperactivation and sperm–oocyte membrane fusion (Aitken, 2017). However, when ROS are generated in excess or in case of limited antioxidant concentrations, there can be a shift in the redox potential towards oxidative stress.

This article focuses on antioxidants and their role in balancing the physiological seminal redox potential. It discusses the evaluation of total antioxidant capacity (TAC), as a parameter to evaluate oxidative stress, and the advantages and disadvantages of each methodology for antioxidant assessment. The functional impact of the redox imbalance and lower antioxidants levels on sperm parameters and their clinical implications in various male infertility conditions are described.

1.1 Antioxidant defence in semen

Antioxidant defences in the ejaculate are localised in spermatozoa and seminal plasma. However, during maturation, spermatozoa...
undergo several morphological changes, resulting in the loss of cytoplasm and nuclear DNA compaction. Therefore, their cytoplasmic antioxidant fraction is limited, and spermatozoa rely on antioxidants in seminal plasma to counteract oxidative stress. These can be generally classified as enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidants.

1.1.1 | Enzymatic antioxidants

Superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT) and glutathione peroxidase (GPx) are the main enzymatic antioxidants in semen. They work synergistically, as SOD converts the superoxide anion ($O_2^-$) into H$_2$O$_2$. CAT and GPx, in turn, convert $O_2^-$ into molecular oxygen or alcohols, respectively, contributing to the removal of oxidants (Kehrer, 2000; Valko, Izakovic, Mazur, Rhodes, & Telser, 2004).

In the male reproductive tract, three different SOD isoforms are produced, thereby contributing to antioxidants scavenging: (a) the homodimeric SOD1 is a Cu/Zn-binding enzyme which localises at the level of mitochondria and cytosol; (b) the mitochondrial homotetrameric SOD2 binds to manganese and it is inhibited by high levels of peroxy nitrite (MacMillan-Crow & Thompson, 1999); and (c) the extracellular homotetrameric Cu/Zn SOD3 isoform has been described in extracellular fluids of eukaryotes. They are expressed in the testis by Sertoli, Leydig and germ cells and in the epididymal head and body (Bauché, Fouchard, & Jégou, 1994; Mruk et al., 1998). Lower levels of CAT have been detected along the epididymal tract and in the testis of rats (Mendis-Handagama, Zirkin, Scallen, & Ewing, 1990; Peltola, Huhtaniemi, & Ahotupa, 1992; Zini & Schlegel, 1997). Spermatozoa show CAT activity but such activity has not been reported in the other stages of sperm development (Bauché et al., 1994). Several selenium-dependent and selenium-independent isoforms of GPx have been described as cytoplasmic, membrane-bound or secreted proteins. They work in concert with glutathione: the latter acts as electron donor to catalyze the reduction of H$_2$O$_2$ in H$_2$O. Several isoforms, such as GPx3 and GPx5, are reportedly expressed in the murine epididymal head (Schwaab et al., 1995; Zini & Schlegel, 1997), where they bind on the surface of spermatozoa, Sertoli and Leydig cells (Luo et al., 2006). In germ cells, GPx enzymatic activity has been reported at various stages of spermatogenesis, from spermatogonia to mature spermatozoa, albeit at low levels (Bauché et al., 1994).

1.1.2 | Non-enzymatic antioxidants

Several non-enzymatic antioxidants have been identified in seminal plasma, such as proteins, vitamins and minerals, working as oxidant scavengers and cofactors of enzymatic antioxidants. Selenium and glutathione are some of the most abundant antioxidants, and they work as cofactors in concert with GPx isoforms (Majzoub & Agarwal, 2018). Low levels of glutathione are synthesised in Sertoli and Leydig cells, with a decline in levels with increasing age (Luo et al., 2006). Glutathione is highly expressed in spermatocytes and spermatids but less in matured spermatozoa (Bauché et al., 1994). Zinc is another mineral showing antioxidant properties based on its capability to neutralise the actions of transition metals such as iron and copper (Powell, 2000). Vitamins, such as the fat-soluble tocopherol (vitamin E), protect spermatozoa from oxidative stress, counteracting the lipid peroxidation of sperm membranes (Suleiman, Elamin Ali, Zaki, El-Malik, & Nasr, 1996). In the same way, water-soluble compounds, such as ascorbic acid (vitamin C) and L-carnitine, protect spermatozoa from the lipid and DNA damage induced by oxidative stress (Majzoub & Agarwal, 2018). Coenzyme Q10 is a quinone expressed in sperm mitochondria and is directly involved in the generation of energy (Majzoub & Agarwal, 2018). Therefore, it acts as a scavenger of oxidants produced during oxidative phosphorylation along the electron transport chain system. Lycopene is an organic compound identified in the testis, specifically in Sertoli cells and round spermatids (Ferreira et al., 2000; Rao, Ray, & Rao, 2006). It is consumed in diet, including vegetables and fruits which are rich in this pigment (Durairajanayagam, Agarwal, Ong, & Prashast, 2014). Other non-enzymatic antioxidants present at lower concentration include uric acid, vitamin B9, β-carotene, hypotaurine and taurine.
2 | MECHANISMS BY WHICH ANTIOXIDANTS HELP PROTECT THE SPERMATOZOA

Along their journey from the testis to the female reproductive tract, spermatozoa are subjected to numerous insults which can limit their fertilising potential. Oxidative stress and ROS generation have been broadly observed in male infertile patients, as they damage spermatozoa structurally and functionally. ROS are able to react with any molecular component, triggering the generation of new radical molecules in a self-perpetuating oxidative stress cycle resulting in cellular damage.

Extracellular oxidants are responsible for lipid peroxidation of sperm membrane, impacting sperm motility and morphology. Intracellular ROS can react with proteins, altering the physiological pathways by oxidation of substrates, and DNA, causing sperm DNA fragmentation (Figure 2). Therefore, antioxidants play an essential role in balancing the seminal concentration of oxidants in sperm physiology. The protection from oxidative stress is achieved in three steps, as described in the following sections.

2.1 | Prevention

Spermatozoa use several strategies to prevent the ROS-mediated cellular damage. During the process of spermiogenesis, genomic DNA is strictly compacted around protamines, shielding the DNA from the action of oxidants. Moreover, the mitochondria, which are the main sources of cellular ROS, are localised in the mid-piece and are spatially far from the nucleus. This limits the access to sperm DNA by ROS such as O$_2^-$, which cannot cross the membranes with lipids as the predominant molecular component. Several enzymes contribute to ROS generation with their catalytic action. However, enzymatic proteins can be tridimensional and folded in a way that prevents the release of generated ROS during their enzymatic activity. The enzyme cytochrome oxidase, for example, is the last component of the electron transport chain. It is associated with iron and copper ions and catalyses the reduction of molecular oxygen into H$_2$O. Nevertheless, the composition of this hetero-oligomeric enzyme prevents the ROS release in the intracellular milieu (Boureens, Fontanesi, Soto, Liu, & Barrientos, 2013).

2.2 | Interception

Enzymatic and non-enzymatic systems are involved in the interception, or deactivation, of oxidant species, in order to limit their toxic activity. Furthermore, they delocalise oxidants in different intracellular compartments, such as the membrane and the aqueous seminal plasma, where their effects are less harmful. Phenolic compounds, such as α-tocopherol, work as antioxidants, protecting the membranes from lipid peroxidation. Additionally, they react with ascorbic acid and thiols to regenerate their reductive potential (Sies, 1993). The ROS half-life influences the scavenger capability of antioxidant systems: peroxyl radicals, having a half-life of ~7 s, are more likely to be deactivated than hydroxyl radical (10$^{-9}$ s) (Sies, 1993).

**FIGURE 2** Mechanisms of ROS-mediated sperm damage
2.3 | Repair

Following the ROS-induced sperm damage, the outcomes are determined by the severity of the damage. The activation of the apoptotic pathway occurs in a setting of severe damage, resulting in the elimination of damaged spermatozoa. If the damage is milder, it is repaired by the oocyte. The repair mechanisms of the oxidative stress-related damage are very limited in spermatozoa, as they are transcriptionally and translationally silent cells (González-Marín, Gosálvez, & Roy, 2012). Specifically, no mechanisms to repair DNA damage are available once spermiogenesis is completed. This increases the susceptibility of mature spermatozoa to the oxidative stress-mediated damage. Moreover, mature spermatozoa only have a small amount of cytoplasm and are therefore dependent on the seminal plasma for antioxidant defences.

3 | ANTIOXIDANT CAPACITY AND SPERM FUNCTION

Low antioxidant levels in seminal plasma lead to oxidative stress, which is an important causative factor in idiopathic male infertility. Elevated levels of ROS affect the sperm structure and functions and these, in turn, lead to reproductive disorders such as fertilisation failure or spontaneous miscarriage and/or recurrent miscarriages (Kamkar, Ramezanali, & Sabbaghian, 2018; Opuwari & Henkel, 2016).

Sperm parameters such as sperm count, motility and morphology have been reported to show a strong positive correlation with the levels of Zinc and TAC, both being significantly lower in infertile men (Badade, More, Narshetty, Badade, & Yadav, 2011). TAC levels have been reported to be significantly lower in men whose partners had repeated pregnancy loss when compared to fertile men (Kamkar et al., 2018). Bassiri et al. reported a significant correlation between abnormal sperm function tests, such as higher numbers of chromomycin A3 positive spermatozoa and lipid peroxidation levels in infertile men with one failed ICSI cycle. This indicates that this group of men may benefit from antioxidant supplementation (Bassiri, Nasr-Esfahani, Forozanfar, & Tavalaee, 2020).

4 | MEASUREMENT OF TOTAL ANTIOXIDANT CAPACITY

Total antioxidant capacity is a diagnostic test that can be used in the male infertility workup. TAC measures the amount of total antioxidants in seminal plasma. Therefore, it evaluates oxidative stress, providing an assessment of the reductive potential in seminal plasma. The same test can be performed using different approaches which are presented in Table 1.

4.1 | Sample collection

The sample is collected by masturbation in a clean plastic cup after 2–7 days of sexual abstinence, according to the most recent WHO guidelines (2010) (WHO, 2010). It is important to collect the entire sample without losing any part, and when the sample is collected at home, it must be kept at body temperature during the transport and delivered no later than 1 hr. Once the sample is liquefied, it is centrifuged at 300 g for 10 min, in order to remove the cell fraction and obtain a clear seminal plasma, which can be tested for TAC.

4.2 | Methods for assessing TAC: principles, methodology and reference values

Several methods for the assessment of seminal TAC have been proposed in the literature (Table 1). They vary according to the principle and methodology as well as their sensitivity to different antioxidants. Therefore, results may vary according to the type of test that is used (Vassalle et al., 2004).

4.2.1 | 2,2-Diphenyl-1-Picrylhydrazyl (DPPH)

DPPH provides a spectrophotometric evaluation of TAC at a wavelength of 515 nm. At room temperature and in alcohol solutions, such

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Principle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2,2-Diphenyl-1-Picrylhydrazyl (DPPH)</td>
<td>Spectrophotometric evaluation of reduced purple-coloured DPPH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity (TEAC)</td>
<td>Spectrophotometric evaluation of oxidised blue/green-coloured 2,29-azinobis-(3-ethyl benzothiazoline-6-sulphonic acid) (ABTS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEAC assay ELISA assay</td>
<td>Measurement of oxidised ABTS by ELISA assay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP)</td>
<td>Spectrophotometric evaluation of the reduction of the ferric tripyridyltriazine (Fe³⁺ - TPTZ) to the blue-coloured ferrous analogue (Fe²⁺ - TPTZ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) assay</td>
<td>Oxidation of luminol and the generation of a chemiluminescence signal, detected by a luminometer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| TABLE 1 | Techniques used to evaluate the total antioxidant capacity of seminal plasma |
as methanol, ethanol or other organic solvents, DPPH is stable and purple-coloured. On the other hand, in the presence of antioxidants, DPPH is quickly reduced to 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazine and thereby loses its colour. Therefore, when the sample is incubated in DPPH solution, the resulting colorimetric change is inversely proportional to the amount of antioxidants in the sample (Garcia et al., 2012). The results, expressed as percentage, are calculated considering the absorbance values of samples and control (absorbance value obtained by the incubation of DPPH in methanol without the sample), according to the following formula: [(Abs CTRL − Abs sample) × 100] (Subramanian et al., 2018).

In a recent study published in 2018 involving 87 subfertile men and 23 fertile men, a cut-off of 77.4% of the TAC levels was proposed to discriminate patients according to their fertility status with moderate sensitivity (69%) and specificity (58%) (Subramanian et al., 2018).

4.2.2 | Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity (TEAC)

The concentration of antioxidants is assessed with a spectrophotometer which evaluates the synthesis of a blue/green-coloured oxidised substrate (Rhemrev et al., 2000).

In this technique, described by Miller, Rice-Evans, Davies, Gopinathan, and Milner (1993), the compound 2,29-azino-bis-(3-ethyl benzothiazoline-6-sulphonic acid) (ABTS) is oxidised to ABTS⁺, after being incubated with metmyoglobin and H₂O₂. This coloured substrate can be quantified spectrophotometrically at a wavelength of 750 nm. The colorimetric signal is compared with that generated by a standard curve of Trolox (6-hydroxy-2,5,7,8-tetramethylchroman-2-carboxylic acid), an analogue of tocopherol. The antioxidants concentration is obtained indirectly based on its capability to inhibit the oxidation of ABTS and to reduce the colour of the solution. Results are provided as micromoles of Trolox equivalent.

In a study conducted in 2016, Roychoudhury, Sharma, Sikka, and Agarwal (2016) analysed TAC in a group of 279 infertile patients and 46 fertile men with the aim to identify a putative cut-off value to discriminate the patients according to their oxidative seminal status. A cut-off value ≥1,950 µM Trolox was proposed, showing relatively low specificity (63.0%) and sensitivity (59.5%) (Roychoudhury et al., 2016).

4.2.3 | Assay-based on formation of ABTS radical: ELISA assay

The formation of ABTS radical in TEAC assay is usually detected spectrophotometrically. However, an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) can be performed. Although the principle of the technique remains the same, the technology used for the detection of ABTS⁺ radical differs. An ELISA reader is equipped with a variable number of filters to monitor the standard wavelengths. It allows the analysis of multiple samples in a microplate, whereas a spectrophotometer analyses one sample at a time and requires more time and a larger volume of sample.

4.2.4 | Oxygen radical absorbance capacity (ORAC)

ORAC assay, elaborated by Glazer (1990), is based on the capability of antioxidants to inhibit the oxidation of substrates by exogenous oxidants.

The 2,2′-azobis-(2-amidino-propane) dihydrochloride (AAPH) is a powerful oxidant molecule that can induce the synthesis of peroxyl radicals (Cao & Prior, 1999). Fluorescent probes, such as B-phycoerythrin, fluorescein and H₂DCFDA, are used to detect peroxyl radicals. Specifically, when they bind to the probes, the fluorescence is reduced. Therefore, the antioxidant concentration is inversely correlated with the decrease in the fluorescence observed.

4.2.5 | Spectrophotometric assay: ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP)

The FRAP assay, as described by Benzie and Strain (1996), is a direct test to assess the TAC based on the reduction of the ferric tripyridyltriazine (Fe³⁺ - TPTZ) to the ferrous analogue (Fe²⁺ - TPTZ) by antioxidants at low pH (Prior & Cao, 1999). In fact, antioxidants are able to reduce such molecules the same way as they reduce ROS. Therefore, the generation of Fe²⁺ - TPTZ, a blue-coloured molecule, is used as an indicator of antioxidant potential in seminal plasma and it can be easily analysed spectrophotometrically at a wavelength of 593 nm. However, FRAP evaluation does not include molecules which cannot reduce Fe³⁺ - TPTZ, like glutathione, an antioxidant in vivo (Prior & Cao, 1999). Results are expressed as μmol/L, calculated in comparison with a calibration curve created with a standard dilution of FeSO₄·7H₂O (Vassalle et al., 2004).

4.2.6 | Enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) assays

This test evaluates the concentration of non-enzymatic antioxidants in seminal plasma based on the capacity of endogenous antioxidants to limit the generation of a chemiluminescent signal (Said et al., 2003).

As described by Alho and Leinonen in detail (Alho & Leinonen, 1999), the reactive solution, horseradish peroxidase (HRP)—linked immunoglobulins and exogenous H₂O₂ catalyse the oxidation of luminol and the generation of a chemiluminescence signal. The addition of para-iodophenol to the solution ensures a stable chemiluminescent light. Antioxidants present in the seminal plasma counteract the generation of chemiluminescence, and the reduction of the signal indirectly provides assessment of the antioxidant concentration in the
fluid. In fact, like in TEAC assay, a quantitative measurement is done by comparison of the signal obtained with that generated by a standard curve of Trolox. Results are provided as molar Trolox equivalent. The evaluation of TAC by chemiluminescence takes around 45 min and requires a sophisticated and expensive luminometer.

5 | CLINICAL DIAGNOSTIC VALUE OF ANTIOXIDANT CAPACITY

Several studies have investigated the diagnostic application of TAC in andrology. Literature reports that infertile patients have lower seminal TAC in comparison with fertile men. Furthermore, a positive correlation between TAC and seminal parameters, such as sperm concentration, motility and morphology, has been reported (Eroglu et al., 2014; Mahfouz, Sharma, Sharma, Sabanegh, & Agarwal, 2009; Roychoudhury et al., 2016; Subramanian et al., 2018). TAC levels were significantly lower in idiopathic male infertility (Eroglu et al., 2014; Mahfouz, Sharma, Sharma, Sabanegh, & Agarwal, 2009; Roychoudhury et al., 2016; Subramanian et al., 2018). TAC levels were significantly lower in idiopathic male infertility (Eroglu et al., 2014), in astheno- and oligoasthenoteratozoospermic patients (Colagar, Karimi, & Jorsaraei, 2013; Pahune, Choudhari, & Muley, 2013), in male partners of couples experiencing idiopathic repeated pregnancy loss (Kamkar et al., 2018) and varicocele patients (Giulini, 2009). Evaluation of TAC in male infertility can provide useful information in the decision of treatment. For instance, surgical treatment of varicocele was demonstrated to improve the seminal redox environment with a significant increase of TAC levels, as observed in case of moderate and high-grade varicocele (Ozturk et al., 2012). In addition, the evaluation of antioxidant concentration can provide information about the seminal redox status and identify those patients who could benefit from antioxidant supplementation. In fact, some studies reported an increase of TAC in asthenoteratozoospermic and oligoasthenoteratozoospermic patients following supplementation of alphalipoic acid and zinc sulphate/folic acid, respectively (Haghighian, Haidari, Mohammad-Asl, & Dadfar, 2015; Raigani et al., 2014). Moreover, the evaluation of TAC can be informative of the seminal oxidative status in patients exposed to environmental factors which can affect spermatogenesis. Patients exposed to high levels of ionising radiations as well as lead showed increased seminal TAC value in comparison with controls, as a compensative mechanism in response to the damage (Kasperczyk, Dobrakowski, Czuba, Horak, & Kasperczyk, 2015; Kumar et al., 2014). However, increased pregnancy rate has not yet been seen based on improvement in TAC.

5.1 | ROS-TAC score and its predictive value in discriminating fertile from infertile men

Recently, there has been an increasing number of reports indicating oxidative stress as a causative factor of idiopathic male infertility (Agarwal et al., 2019). Sharma, Pasqualotto, Nelson, Thomas, and Agarwal (1999) suggested a new composite ROS-TAC score for the evaluation of seminal oxidative stress based on the principal component analysis (Sharma et al., 1999). They analysed the predictive power of the ROS-TAC score in comparison with TAC or ROS alone. A ROS-TAC value of 50.00 ± 10.00 was reported in fertile men, significantly higher than ROS-TAC observed in patients with varicocele, varicocele and prostatitis, and idiopathic infertility. Moreover, the ROS-TAC score showed a higher power to discriminate patients according to their fertility status than did the ROS or TAC parameters alone (Sharma et al., 1999). ROS-TAC values <50.00 ± 10.00 have been reported in patients with varicocele (Saleh, Agarwal, Sharma, Nelson, & Thomas, 2002; Saleh et al., 2003) or chronic prostatitis (Pasqualotto et al., 2000), as well as in asthenozoospermic (Vatannejad et al., 2017) and idiopathic infertile patients (Pasqualotto et al., 2001; Pasqualotto, Sharma, Pasqualotto, & Agarwal, 2008) or in association with lifestyle factors such as smoking (Saleh et al., 2002).

6 | CONCLUSION

Oxidative stress is a well-established cause of male infertility and its evaluation is of great importance in the workup of male fertility. Since oxidative stress arises as a result of the disequilibrium between pro- and antioxidant molecules, the evaluation of TAC provides information on the redox status of seminal samples. Moreover, it highlights the reductive power of endogenous seminal antioxidants to counterbalance ROS generation in several clinical andrological conditions associated with oxidative stress.

7 | TAKE-HOME MESSAGE

- Antioxidants play an important role in counterbalancing the ROS and their impact on sperm functions and parameters.
- The evaluation of TAC is informative of the seminal redox status and can help individualise patient management and selection of patients for optimal results with antioxidant supplementation.
- In the workup of male infertility, the evaluation of ROS-TAC score has a higher prognostic capacity than do the ROS or TAC parameters individually.
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