



# Article The Stability and Anti-Angiogenic Properties of Titanium Dioxide Nanoparticles (TiO<sub>2</sub>NPs) Using Caco-2 Cells

Oladipupo Moyinoluwa David <sup>1</sup>, Kim Leigh Lategan <sup>1</sup>, Maria Fidalgo de Cortalezzi <sup>2</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Medical Bioscience, University of the Western Cape, Cape Town 7535, South Africa
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO 65211, USA
  - Correspondence: epool@uwc.ac.za; Tel.: +27-21-959-3535

Abstract: Titanium dioxide nanoparticles ( $TiO_2NPs$ ) are found in a wide range of products such as sunscreen, paints, toothpaste and cosmetics due to their white pigment and high refractive index. These wide-ranging applications could result in direct or indirect exposure of these NPs to humans and the environment. Accordingly, conflicting levels of toxicity has been associated with these NPs. Therefore, the risk associated with these reports and for  $TiO_2NPs$  produced using varying methodologies should be measured. This study aimed to investigate the effects of various media on  $TiO_2NP$  properties (hydrodynamic size and zeta potential) and the effects of  $TiO_2NP$ exposure on human colorectal adenocarcinoma (Caco-2) epithelial cell viability, inflammatory and cell stress biomarkers and angiogenesis proteome profiles. The NPs increased in size over time in the various media, while zeta potentials were stable.  $TiO_2NPs$  also induced cell stress biomarkers, which could be attributed to the NPs not being cytotoxic. Consequently,  $TiO_2NP$  exposure had no effects on the level of inflammatory biomarkers produced by Caco-2.  $TiO_2NPs$  expressed some anti-angiogenic properties when exposed to the no-observed-adverse-effect level and requires further in-depth investigation.

Keywords: titanium dioxide; nanoparticles; cytotoxicity; inflammation; cell stress; angiogenesis

# 1. Introduction

Titanium dioxide nanoparticles (TiO<sub>2</sub>NPs) exist in various forms, of which anatase, brookite and rutile are the most abundant. The rutile form has been studied extensively due to its electrical, optical and thermal properties [1]. Titanium dioxide nanoparticles are white pigments, and due to their brightness and high refractive index, they have a variety of applications [2]. These are sought-after characteristics, resulting in TiO<sub>2</sub>NPs being one of the earliest industrially and most abundantly produced nanoparticles globally [3]. Applications of TiO<sub>2</sub>NPs include paints, food products, cosmetics, toothpastes, plastics, industrial photocatalytic processes and, very commonly, sunscreens, as it helps protect the skin from UV light [4–6].

Recent reports indicated that  $TiO_2NPs$  might cause adverse environmental effects. Due to the high presence of these NPs in consumer products, humans can also potentially be adversely affected [7–9]. The in vivo effects of  $TiO_2NP$  exposure have indicated acute toxicity of a number of organs such as lung, kidney and liver, and as well as immune toxicity [5,10,11]. However, there are conflicting reports showing that  $TiO_2NPs$  are not significantly absorbed in the liver, kidneys or small intestine [10,11]. The main route of excretion of  $TiO_2NPs$  is via the urinary tract [9,12]. Contradictory reports regarding in vitro toxicity of  $TiO_2NPs$  also exist in which some report genotoxicity and cytotoxicity in some cell lines, while others do not find adverse effects [13–21]. The possible reasons for these inconsistent reports are the use of different cell types, animal models, doses and sizes of the nanoparticle used.



**Citation:** David, O.M.; Lategan, K.L.; de Cortalezzi, M.F.; Pool, E.J. The Stability and Anti-Angiogenic Properties of Titanium Dioxide Nanoparticles (TiO<sub>2</sub>NPs) Using Caco-2 Cells. *Biomolecules* **2022**, *12*, 1334. https://doi.org/10.3390/ biom12101334

Academic Editor: Lutfun Nahar

Received: 10 August 2022 Accepted: 18 September 2022 Published: 21 September 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). Reviews of the in vitro toxicology of  $TiO_2NPs$  for mammalian cells indicated that there were few studies on the effects of these NPs on intestinal cells, the major intestinal barrier of the immune system and the body. It was also noted that the NPs could cross the intestinal epithelium layer via transcytosis without damaging the epithelial cell integrity but resulting in minor effects [3,8].

To the best of our knowledge, limited studies have assessed how these NPs behave when in physiological media containing serum constituents. Serum is thought to affect the aggregation and stability of the NP. Fatisson et al. (2012) noted that engineered NPs (ENPs) were only moderately affected by cell culture media but that in the presence of serum, the NPs were destabilized after 24 h [22]. Another study noted an increase in NP size when in cell culture media, and these factors can attribute to the conflicting reports of  $TiO_2NP$  toxicity in vivo and in vitro [23].

The current study aimed to evaluate the effects of various physiological media on  $TiO_2NP$  hydrodynamic size and zeta potential. In addition, the physiological effects of these characterized  $TiO_2NPs$  on cell viability, cell stress and inflammatory biomarkers were investigated. Our rationales for the use of biomarker profiles were that these would provide rapid information on potential adverse and beneficial effects of  $TiO_2NPs$  for a large number of conditions that could potentially be affected by the NPs and also give indications of pharmaceutical activity that can potentially be used as intervention therapies for various diseases.

## 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Nanoparticle Characterization

The aeroxide  $P_{25}$  TiO<sub>2</sub>NPs were provided by the manufacturer (Evonik Degussa Corporation) CAS: 13463-67-7 and the manufacturers reported a spherical shape and an average primary particle size of 21 nm as a hydrophilic fumed TiO<sub>2</sub> mixture of rutile and anatase forms. The  $TiO_2NPs$  were distributed by transmission electron microscope (TEM) to determine the morphology and size of the TiO<sub>2</sub>NPs. Scanning electron microscope (SEM) with energy-dispersive x-ray was used to confirm elemental titanium shape and size. X-ray diffraction (XRD) was performed with a Philip expert pro MPD X-ray diffractometer using Cu-k radiation at voltage 40 kV and current 40 mA to determine the structure of the  $TiO_2NPs$ . The  $TiO_2NPs$  were subsequently characterized in various media over a 2-week period to determine whether their characteristics would alter. Thereafter, the  $TiO_2NPs$ were placed in various media (pH 7): 150 mM sodium chloride (NaCl) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA);  $1 \times$  phosphate buffered saline (PBS) (Sigma-Aldrich); Incomplete Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM) (Sigma-Aldrich) containing 0.1% glutamax (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.1% antibiotic/antimycotic solution (Sigma-Aldrich) and 0.05% gentamicin (Sigma-Aldrich); and complete DMEM media, containing the same constituents as incomplete media but containing additional 10% heat inactivated foetal bovine serum (FBS) (Sigma-Aldrich) to yield a final concentration of 10  $\mu$ g/mL TiO<sub>2</sub>NPs. The TiO<sub>2</sub>NPs in the various medias were then incubated at 37  $^{\circ}$ C for 0 h, 24 h, 7 and 14 days respectively. After the various incubation periods, the medium was centrifuged at 10,000 rpms (Eppendorf Centrifuge 5810 R), and the NP pellets were then washed and resuspended in  $dH_20$ . Thereafter, disposable folded capillary cells (Malvern Pananlytical) were used to determine the hydrodynamic size and zeta potential of the nanoparticles with a ZetaSizer Nano ZS (Malvern Instruments, Malvern, PA, USA).

#### 2.2. Nanoparticle Preparation for Cell Culture

A 10 mg/mL stock of  $TiO_2NPs$  was prepared in distilled water. The NPs were sonicated (QSonica, LLC. Misonixsonicators, XL-200 Series) on ice for short burst for approximately 5 min. Nanoparticles were freshly prepared prior to each experiment.

# 2.3. Cell Culture

The human colorectal adenocarcinoma (Caco-2) epithelial cell line was obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC HTB-37). Standard tissue culture conditions were used to maintain the cells in complete medium. The Caco-2 cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM, Lonza, Cape Town, South Africa) supplemented with 10% heat inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS, Hyclone, Little Chalfont, UK), gentamicin, glutamax and antibiotic/antimycotic (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). The cells were incubated in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2 at 37 °C. Cells were sub-cultured approximately every 3-4 days using 0.05% trypsin ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) (Gibco). Caco-2 cells were seeded at a density of  $4 \times 10^3$  cells/mL in 24-well tissue culture-treated plates (Nunc) and were approximately 60% confluent before nanoparticle treatment. Cells were exposed for 48 h to 0–500  $\mu$ g/mL TiO<sub>2</sub>NPs, respectively as inflammatory markers could not be detected after 24 h exposure. Thereafter, supernatants removed and cell viability assessed. Supernatants were centrifuged at 12.1 rcfs for 1 min (MiniStar Plus Super Mini Centrifuge) before evaluating innate inflammatory biomarkers and performing an angiogenesis proteome profile. Experiments were repeated and cells were harvested and protein concentration quantified in order to evaluate cell stress biomarkers potentially induced by the NPs.

#### 2.4. Cell Viability Assay

Cell viability was monitored using the sodium 3'[1-[(phenylamino)-carbony]-3,4-tetrazolium]-bis(4-methoxy-6-nitro) benzene-sulfonic acid hydrate) (XTT) assay (Sigma-Aldrich). The assay monitors the conversion of the XTT tetrazolium salt to a soluble formazan salt in metabolically active cells [24]. Therefore, an increase in the conversion to formazan is directly proportional to cell viability. Supernatants were removed and cells washed with PBS to remove any excess NP that may interfere with the assay. A 1:50 ratio of XTT coupling reagent to XTT labelling reagent was prepared. This mixture was further diluted in complete medium to yield a final ration of 1:3. Plates were immediately read at 450 nm (FLUOstar Omega, BMG Labtech, Ortenberg, Germany) after the addition of XTT. Plates were then incubated at 37 °C for 1 h after which an additional reading was made. The change of absorbance over time was calculated and the percentage viability analysed.

## 2.5. NO Assay

The amount of nitrite produced by the Caco-2 cells exposed to the respective nanoparticle concentrations was assessed in the cell culture supernatant as an indication of NO production. This assay is based on the Griess reaction [25]. The amount of nitrite produced by the cells was measured against the nitrite standard range (Sigma-Aldrich) (0–100  $\mu$ M). A 1:1 of culture supernatant or standard was mixed with the Griess reagent (1:1 of 1% sulphanilamide and 0.1% naphtylethlemidimine-dihydrochloride in 2.5% sulphuric acid (all obtained from Sigma-Aldrich). The plate was subsequently read at 540 nm (FLU-Ostar Omega, BMG Labtech) and the amount of nitrite produced by the cells quantified.

#### 2.6. Innate Inflammatory Biomarkers IL-6 and IL-8

Double antibody sandwich enzyme linked immuno-sorbent assays (DAS-ELISA) were run according to the manufacturer's instructions. Both the IL-6 (Invitrogen) and IL-8 (R & D Systems) assays were run using undiluted supernatants.

# 2.7. Protein Quantification

The cells were harvested after NP exposure using lysis buffer solution (1× PBS, 0.1% tween and 200  $\mu$ L protease inhibitor). The cells were then scraped with a scrapper after which cells were then sonicated (QSonica, LLC. Misonixsonicators, XL-200 Series) on ice for short burst for 20 secs and centrifuged at 12.1 rcfs for 1 min. Protein concentration of the cell homogenate was quantified using Bradford reagent. The cell homogenates at

 $300 \ \mu g/mL$  protein were used to evaluate cell stress biomarkers potentially induced by the nanoparticle.

#### 2.8. Cell Stress Biomarkers

The production of cell stress biomarkers induced by exposing the cells to the nanoparticles was assessed by performing SOD, Phospho-HSP27 and HSP70 ELISAs (all purchased from R & D Systems). The experiments were all performed per the manufacturer's instructions. All samples were run at a cell homogenate protein concentration of 300 µg/mL. The exposure concentration range selected was 0 and 31.25–500 µg/mL TiO2NPs.

# 2.9. Angiogenesis Proteome Profile

The angiogenesis proteome profiler (R & D Systems) contained 4 membranes, each spotted in duplicate with 55 different angiogenesis antibodies. The assay was performed per the manufacturer's instructions. This was a qualitative assay that indicates the relative expression of the angiogenesis markers. The concentrations selected were 0 and 100  $\mu$ g/mL TiO<sub>2</sub>NPs. The concentration selected represented the control and the no observed adverse effect level (NOAEL) (100  $\mu$ g/mL TiO<sub>2</sub>NPs). The membranes were subjected to an ultra-sensitive chromogenic 3,3',5,5'-Tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) substrate (Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA) to show sample–antibody complexes labelled with streptavidin-horseradish peroxidase (HRP). Pictures were taken of the membranes after substrate exposure.

#### 2.10. Statistical Analysis

All experiments were performed in triplicate and the data calculated using Microsoft Excel. The data are represented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD). A one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SigmaPlot 12.0 (Systat Software Inc., San Jose, CA, USA) was used to determine statistical differences, with p < 0.01 deemed significant.

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Nanoparticle Characterization

The TEM images showed the morphology to be spherical and the size to be 21 nm of TiO<sub>2</sub>NPs (Figure 1a). The SEM with energy dispersive X-ray was used to confirm elemental titanium (Ti) and surface morphology (Figure 1b,c). The XRD pattern of TiO<sub>2</sub>NPs (Figure 2) matched the International Centre for Diffraction Data (ICDD) of two mineral forms of TiO<sub>2</sub> namely, anatase (Power Diffraction File (PDF) card no.: 21-1272) and rutile (Power Diffraction File (PDF) card no.: 21-1276). The (XRD) pattern of TiO<sub>2</sub>NPs (Figure 2) matched the standard diffraction pattern of two mineral forms of TiO2 namely; anatase (JCP card no.: 21-1276). The crystallinity of the synthesized TiO<sub>2</sub>NPs was well-defined, with the narrow and high-intensity XRD peaks indicating large particle sizes. The pattern showed the presence of favourable orientation of planes (101), (110), (004), (200), (105), and (211) which are peculiar to TiO<sub>2</sub>. The (XRD) also showed the different peaks pattern of TiO<sub>2</sub>NPs (Figure 2).

#### 3.2. Nanoparticle Behaviour in Various Medias

The NPs in the presence of a 150 mM NaCl solution indicated a steady increase in size across the period assessed. The hydrodynamic size over the 2-week incubation period significantly increased (p < 0.001) from 297  $\pm$  43.55 to 647.53  $\pm$  56.18 nm (14 days) (Table 1). However, the zeta potential for the same period exhibited a notable decrease in NP charge at 14 days. It decreased from an initial  $-23.3 \pm 0.66$  (0 h) to  $-12.43 \pm 0.45$  mV (14 days) (Table 2).



**Figure 1.** Characterization of  $TiO_2NPs$ ; (a) TEM analysis of  $TiO_2NPs$ . (b) SEM analysis of  $TiO_2NPs$ . (c) SEM Energy Dispersive X-ray analysis showing elemental  $TiO_2$  and electron image analysis of  $TiO_2NPs$ .



Figure 2. X-ray crystallography (XRD) analysis of TiO<sub>2</sub>NPs.

**Table 1.** Hydrodynamic diameter (nm) of  $TiO_2NPs$  after being exposed to various physiological medias over a 2-weeks period.

| MEDIA -        | Number of Days     |                  |                           |                         |
|----------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
|                | 0                  | 1                | 7                         | 14                      |
| 150 Mm NaCl    | $297.33\pm43.55$   | $431.87\pm59.81$ | $347.94\pm72.48$          | $647.53 \pm 56.18$ *    |
| $1 \times PBS$ | $787.33 \pm 65.24$ | $787.33\pm65.24$ | $915.3\pm113.18$          | $1055.8 \pm 86.95$ **** |
| DMEM           | $787.33 \pm 65.24$ | $962\pm140.63$   | $1442.35 \pm 491.99$ **** | $685.04 \pm 121.19$     |
| DMEM (10% FBS) | $787.33\pm65.24$   | $911.67\pm75.06$ | $1121.26 \pm 216.26$      | $1821.8 \pm 450.9$ **   |

Data expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD. Significance demarcated by (\*) indicating significant difference of p < 0.001, (\*\*) p < 0.003, and (\*\*\*) p < 0.014 compared to the relative 0 h control.

**Table 2.** Zeta Potential (mV) of TiO<sub>2</sub>NPs after being exposed to various physiological medias over a 2-weeks period.

| MEDIA -        | Number of Days    |                   |                      |                       |
|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
|                | 0                 | 1                 | 7                    | 14                    |
| 150 Mm NaCl    | $-23.3\pm0.66$    | $-23.82\pm1.49$   | $-20.06\pm5.84$      | $-12.43 \pm 0.45$ *** |
| $1 \times PBS$ | $-26.03 \pm 2.29$ | $-16.05 \pm 2.77$ | $-27.87\pm1.97$      | $-16.48 \pm 1.29$ *   |
| DMEM           | $-14.1\pm0.87$    | $-13.76 \pm 1.66$ | $-8.29 \pm 1.9$ **** | $-12.62\pm2.41$       |
| DMEM (10% FBS) | $13.03\pm0.85$    | $-13.4\pm1.35$    | $-13.7\pm1.18$       | $-16.45 \pm 1.1$ **** |

Data expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD. Significance demarcated by (\*) indicating significant difference of p < 0.001, (\*\*\*) p < 0.007 and (\*\*\*\*) p < 0.014 compared to the relative 0 h control.

When the TiO<sub>2</sub>NPs were incubated in the presence of PBS, it was noted that size did not change across the 24 h period. It was also notable that the size of the NPs at 0 h were the same for PBS and DMEM in the absence and presence of serum (787.33  $\pm$  65.24 nm). Subsequently, TiO<sub>2</sub>NPs in PBS for 14 days exhibited a significant increase (p < 0.014) in size from 787.33  $\pm$  65.24 (0 h) to 1055.8  $\pm$  86.95 nm (14 days) (Table 1). Nonetheless, the

zeta potential did not reflect this. The surface charge notably increased (p < 0.001) from  $-26.03 \pm 2.29$  to  $-16.05 \pm 2.77$  mV for PBS over the 24 h period. It then decreased to  $-27.87 \pm 1.97$  mV at day 7 and then another significant increase (p < 0.001) at day 14 with a charge of  $-16.48 \pm 1.29$  mV. Thus, 0 h and 7 days had similar surface charges and 24 h and day 14 have comparable zeta potentials for PBS (Table 2).

The TiO<sub>2</sub>NPs in the presence of DMEM without serum displayed a notable increase (p < 0.014) in size from 0 h to 7 days, with sizes ranging from 787.33  $\pm$  65.24 to 1442.35  $\pm$  491.99 nm respectively (Table 1). The surface charge of the NPs was stable after 24 h. The zeta potential increased (p < 0.014) from  $-14.1 \pm 0.87$  to  $-8.29 \pm 1.9$  mV between 0 h and day 7 respectively (Table 2).

TiO<sub>2</sub>NPs exposed to DMEM in the presence of serum showed a similar trend to the NPs in 150 mM NaCl, where there was an increase in particle size over time. The size increased (p < 0.003) from 787.33  $\pm$  65.24 to 1121.26  $\pm$  216.6 nm at day 7 and another subsequent increase (p < 0.003) to 1821.8  $\pm$  450.9 nm after 14 days (Table 1). Surface charge remained consistent and stable after exposing the NPs to complete DMEM for 7 days even though particle size increased. However, zeta potential of the TiO<sub>2</sub>NPs notably increased (p < 0.014) at day 14 (-16.45  $\pm$  1.1 mV) compared to incubation period 0 h to 7 days (Table 2).

# 3.3. Cell Viability

The XTT assay used to evaluate potential cytotoxicity of  $TiO_2NPs$  after 48 h, indicated no effect on viability after the cells were exposed to the NP range assessed in this study (Figure 3).



**Figure 3.** Caco-2 cell viability after 48 h exposure to a range of  $TiO_2NP$  concentrations. Data represented as mean  $\pm$  SD.

## 3.4. Inflammatory Biomarkers

The exposure of Caco-2 cells to TiO<sub>2</sub>NPs for 48 h resulted in a significant increase (p < 0.001) in NO released from the cells at concentrations  $\geq 62.5 \,\mu g/mL \, TiO_2NPs$  (Figure 4a). However, the increase would not be deemed significant in a physiological system. Contrary to the NO data, the other inflammatory markers (i.e., IL-6 and IL-8) secretion levels from the cells remained unaffected after a 48 h exposure period (Figure 4b,c). IL-6 levels secreted by the cells were approximately 3 times higher compared with IL-8 secretion.



**Figure 4.** Caco-2 Nitric oxide (NO), Interleukin 6 (IL-6) and Interleukin 8 (IL-8) (**a**–**c**) respectively after 48 h exposure to a range of TiO<sub>2</sub>NP concentrations. Data represented as mean  $\pm$  SD. Significance demarcated by \*, indicating a significant difference of *p* < 0.001.

# 3.5. Cell Stress Biomarkers

The cell stress biomarkers phospho-HSP-27 and HSP-70 notably increased (p < 0.001) at concentrations  $\geq 31.25 \ \mu g/mL \ TiO_2 NPs$ . Phospho-HSP-27 levels doubled at  $31.25 \ \mu g/mL$  TiO<sub>2</sub>NPs and tripled at 125  $\ \mu g/mL \ TiO_2 NPs$ , compared to the 0  $\ \mu g/mL \ TiO_2 NP$  control, and then increased to 7-fold at 250 and 500  $\ \mu g/mL \ TiO_2 NPs$  (Figure 5a). However, with regards to the HSP-70 levels, there was a notable dose-dependent increase in the secretion of this biomarker (Figure 5b). HSP-70 levels were also noted to be a 1000× higher in comparison with the phospho-HSP-27 levels.

Dissimilar to the other cell stress biomarkers, the production of SOD-2 was only considerably upregulated (p < 0.001) at 250 µg/mL TiO<sub>2</sub>NPs, with an approximate 1.8-fold increase in its production in comparison to the control (Figure 5c).



**Figure 5.** Phospho-HSP-27, HSP-70 and SOD-2 (**a**–**c**) respectively cell stress biomarkers after exposing Caco-2 cells to various TiO<sub>2</sub>NPs, concentrations for 48 h. Data represented as mean  $\pm$  SD. Significance demarcated by (\*), indicating a significant difference of *p* < 0.001.

## 3.6. Angiogenesis Proteome Profile

The control (0  $\mu$ g/mL TiO<sub>2</sub>NPs) and NOAEL (100  $\mu$ g/mL TiO<sub>2</sub>NPs) supernatants assayed for potential angiogenic biomarkers revealed the same proteins except persephin, which was only evident on the control membrane (Figure 6). Based on the intensity of the dot, the proteins dipeptidyl peptidase IV (DPPIV) and endostatin was more prominent on the NOAEL membrane (Figure 6b). However, platelet-derived growth factor AA (PDGF-AA) and angiopoietin-2 was more noticeable in cells exposed to the control concentration (Figure 6a).



**Figure 6.** Angiogenesis proteome profile using culture supernatants of Caco-2 cells exposed to (a) control (0  $\mu$ g/mL TiO2NPs) and (b) NOAEL concentration (100  $\mu$ g/mL TiO2NPs) for 48 h. RS-Reference spot.

## 4. Discussion

In recent years, the harmful effects of  $TiO_2NPs$  on human health have resulted in different perspectives due to the available data. The polymorphic structure and properties of  $TiO_2NPs$  may alter the toxicity of  $TiO_2NPs$ . The potential properties, inertness and wide range of applications have raised concerns regarding the toxicity and safety of  $TiO_2NPs$  [26]. It has been recently classified as a potential carcinogenic factor from group 2B by the international agency for research on cancer (IARC) due to the experimental tests done on animals concerning exposure by inhalation [6,26]. Toxicological in vitro studies revealed a number of harmful effects on mammalian cells not limited to decreased cell viability, DNA damage, increased ROS generation, inflammation and genotoxicity [27].

The physical characteristics of TiO<sub>2</sub>NPs were analysed with TEM, SEM and XRD to determine their sizes and morphology (Figures 1 and 2). The TEM, SEM and XRD analyses showed that the sizes correspond with the manufacturer size of average particle size of 21 nm, and spherical shape. The findings also corroborated the analysis previously done by Romanello and de Cortalezzi 2013 [28], who reported that the NPs forms aggregates of 200–300 nm in aqueous solutions, at pH values where agglomeration is favourable and also revealing the peak patterns to be a hydrophilic fumed TiO<sub>2</sub> mixture of rutile and anatase forms.

The initial size of TiO<sub>2</sub>NPs in complete DMEM was 787.33  $\pm$  65.24 nm (Table 1) which was similar to what was found by another study where the initial hydrodynamic diameter of TiO<sub>2</sub>NP in complete DMEM was 843  $\pm$  69 nm [29]. However, their zeta potential was  $-7.4 \pm 2.5$  mV which is much higher compared with the data generated in this study ( $-13.03 \pm 0.85$  mV) (Table 2). It is also proposed by other studies that probe ultra-sonication does not easily break down agglomerates but in fact endorses agglomeration due to the

enhanced particle-particle interaction [29,30]. In conjunction with probe sonication, the high ionic strength present in the culture media can also promote agglomeration [29]. This was evident as after 24 h the particle size was 911.67  $\pm$  75.06 nm and 1121.26  $\pm$  216.26 nm after a 7-day incubation period, respectively. This trend was consistent with all the medias in which TiO<sub>2</sub>NPs was evaluated and proposes that the NPs were stable after 48 h, the time frame used in this study. The zeta potential remained mostly stable after size increase in all medias used (Table 2). A study where different reducing agents, namely glycine (gly-TiO<sub>2</sub>NP) and L-alanine (ala-TiO<sub>2</sub>NP), was used to evaluate how complete DMEM impacted the size and surface charge of TiO<sub>2</sub>NP. The authors of the aforementioned study noted an increase in size when placed into cell culture media for 24 h, with hydrodynamic size being 1842.6  $\pm$  263 nm and 1296  $\pm$  662 nm for gly-TiO<sub>2</sub>NP and ala-TiO<sub>2</sub>NP, after an initial size of 85.5 and 72.8 nm respectively [23]. The surface charge after being exposed to complete DMEM with gly-TiO<sub>2</sub>NP and ala-TiO<sub>2</sub>NP was  $-7.9 \pm 0.4$  and  $-8.2 \pm 0.2$  mV respectively. These results imply that the reducing agent does not impact size once in culture media may a scan be seen when comparing the data found in this study.

The  $TiO_2NPs$  were not cytotoxic to the cells at the assessed concentrations (Figure 3). This could be attributed to the increase in NP size (Table 1), as this could impact cellular uptake. This has been investigated by numerous studies, looking at various types of nanoparticles and cells. Studies have ascertained that an increase in particle size would impact cellular uptake and in turn decrease the level of toxicity [17,31–34]. Several questions remain as a limited number of nanoparticles have been tested, as well as difficulty comparing data reported due to disparities in surface chemistry, purity and size uniformity of the nanoparticles used [34-36]. Uptake of these NPs require further investigation to corroborate the proposed mechanism for the lack of cytotoxicity. However, other studies have found similar results with regards to  $TiO_2NPs$  not being cytotoxic to human colon carcinoma cells [35–37]. The lack of cytotoxicity could also be attributed to the induction of cell stress biomarkers (Figure 5a–c). As phospho-HSP-27, HSP-70 and SOD-2 are produced to aid in protecting the cells from damage such as hypoxia and cytotoxic exposure [38–41]. These systems regulate reactive oxygen species (ROS) formation and protect biological systems from ROS induced oxidative damage [42]. In contrast to what we have found, other studies have found that  $TiO_2NPs$  induce cyto-and genotoxicity [11,15,19]. This disparity can be attributed to NP size, cell type and dosage.

The TiO<sub>2</sub>NPs were found not to affect the production of inflammatory cytokines, IL-6 or IL-8 (Figure 4b,c). As constant exposure to nanoparticles that trigger inflammation could result in autoimmunity. The IL-6 data generated agreed with other studies that found that Caco-2 cells exposed to TiO<sub>2</sub>NPs did not affect the expression levels of this cytokine [43,44]. The IL-8 data is different from other studies that investigated the effect of TiO<sub>2</sub>NPs on Caco-2 cells IL-8 expression levels. Kruger et al. (2014) elucidated that TiO<sub>2</sub>NPs activates IL-8 and IL-8-related pathways [43]. This was confounded by other studies, which indicated that exposure of human endothelial cells to TiO<sub>2</sub>NPs resulted in an increase of IL-8 levels after a 24 h exposure period [44,45]. This trend of increasing IL-8 levels was also evident when Caco-2 cells were exposed to nanosilica [34]. An in vivo study looking at inflammatory cytokines such as IL-6 and IL-8 secretion from the small intestine upon TiO<sub>2</sub>NPs exposure found no change in their expression levels, which is in agreeance with our data. However, they did find an increase in other inflammatory cytokines such as IL-4, IL-12, TNF- $\alpha$  and IFN $\gamma$  [46].

The angiogenesis proteome profile revealed very little differences between the control and 100  $\mu$ g/mL TiO<sub>2</sub>NPs (Figure 6). There was an inhibition of the protein and proangiogenic molecule, persephin upon exposure to 100  $\mu$ g/mL TiO<sub>2</sub>NPs. However, the protein, DPPIV was more prominent in the NOAEL exposure compared to the anti-angiogenic molecule, endostatin which was also upregulated at the same exposure concentration. The other pro-angiogenic proteins, PDGF-AA and angiopoietin-2 were higher in the control compared to NOAEL. The inhibition of persephin, and suppression of PDGF-AA and angiopoietin-2 in the NOAEL exposure indicates the potential anti-angiogenic effects of TiO<sub>2</sub>NPs, as the activation of persephin, angiopoetin-2 and PDGF-AA triggers the angiogenic process [47–50]. This is further supported by the upregulation of endostatin in the 100 µg/mL TiO<sub>2</sub>NPs exposure as this is produced endogenously and inhibits angiogenesis [51]. The upregulation of DPPIV in the NOAEL exposure is not significant as this protein is constitutively expressed on endothelial cells [52,53]. These results are supported by Jo et al., who found TiO<sub>2</sub>NPs to be anti-angiogenic in vitro as there was a reduction in vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) [50]. Identifying these potential biomarkers is important as angiogenesis promotes the development of new blood vessels from existing ones [51]. This has a direct relation to cancer as tumour growth and metastasis relies on the initiation of angiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis, which can be triggered by chemical signals [54]. However, this requires further in-depth investigation as the size and exposure period of the nanoparticle might impact these factors.

# 5. Conclusions

Caco-2 cells express anti-angiogenic markers (i.e., persephin, angiopoetin-2, PDGF-AA and endostatin) upon exposure to 100  $\mu$ g/mL TiO<sub>2</sub>NPs and could be a potential candidate for use in cancer therapy. This, with the lack of inflammatory cytokine production and cytotoxicity makes this NP a good candidate. However, further comprehensive investigations are required as the exposure period, dosage and size of the NP could greatly impact the above-mentioned factors.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, E.J.P.; methodology, E.J.P., K.L.L. and O.M.D.; validation, E.J.P., K.L.L. and O.M.D.; formal analysis, O.M.D. and K.L.L.; investigation, O.M.D. and K.L.L.; resources, E.J.P. and M.F.d.C.; data curation, O.M.D. and K.L.L.; writing—original draft preparation, O.M.D.; writing—O.M.D. and K.L.L. and editing, E.J.P. and K.L.L.; supervision, E.J.P., M.F.d.C. and K.L.L.; project administration, E.J.P. and M.F.d.C.; funding acquisition, E.J.P. and M.F.d.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: National Research Foundation, Grant Number: 105994.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: We acknowledge the unflinching support of Okobi Ekpo and Emmanuel Iwuoha. The authors would also like to thank the National Research Foundation (NRF) for funding this study.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

- Reddy, K.M.; Manorama, S.V.; Reddy, A.R. Bandgap studies on anatase titanium dioxide nanoparticles. *Mater. Chem. Phys.* 2003, 78, 239–245. [CrossRef]
- Shi, H.; Magaye, R.; Castranova, V.; Zhao, J. Titanium dioxide nanoparticles: A review of current toxicological data. *Part. Fibre Toxicol.* 2013, 10, 15. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Iavicoli, I.; Leso, V.; Fontana, L.; Bergamaschi, A. Toxicological effects of titanium dioxide nanoparticles: A review of in vitro mammalian studies. *Eur. Rev. Med. Pharmacol. Sci.* 2011, 15, 481–508. [PubMed]
- Jain, A.; Jain, A.; Gulbake, A.; Shilpi, S.; Hurkat, P.; Jain, S.K. Peptide and protein delivery using new drug delivery systems. *Critical Reviews™ in Therapeutic Drug Carrier Systems* 2013, 30, 293–329. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ziental, D.; Czarczynska-Goslinska, B.; Mlynarczyk, D.T.; Glowacka-Sobotta, A.; Stanisz, B.; Goslinski, T.; Sobotta, L. Titanium dioxide nanoparticles: Prospects and applications in medicine. *Nanomaterials* 2020, 10, 387. [CrossRef]
- 6. Baranowska-Wojcik, E.; Szwajgier, D.; Oleszczuk, P.; Winiarska-Mieczan, A. Effects of Titanium Dioxide Nanoparticles Exposure on Human Health-a Review. *Biol. Trace Elem. Res.* 2020, 193, 118–129. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chen, J.; Dong, X.; Zhao, J.; Tang, G. In vivo acute toxicity of titanium dioxide nanoparticles to mice after intraperitioneal injection. J. Appl. Toxicol. 2009, 29, 330–337. [CrossRef]
- Irshad, M.A.; Nawaz, R.; ur Rehman, M.Z.; Adrees, M.; Rizwan, M.; Ali, S.; Ahmad, S.; Tasleem, S. Synthesis, characterization and advanced sustainable applications of titanium dioxide nanoparticles: A review. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 2021, 212, 111978. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 9. Musial, J.; Krakowiak, R.; Mlynarczyk, D.T.; Goslinski, T.; Stanisz, B.J. Titanium dioxide nanoparticles in food and personal care products—What do we know about their safety? *Nanomaterials* **2020**, *10*, 1110. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cho, W.-S.; Kang, B.-C.; Lee, J.K.; Jeong, J.; Che, J.-H.; Seok, S.H. Comparative absorption, distribution, and excretion of titanium dioxide and zinc oxide nanoparticles after repeated oral administration. *Part. Fibre Toxicol.* 2013, 10, 9. [CrossRef]
- Janer, G.; Del Molino, E.M.; Fernández-Rosas, E.; Fernández, A.; Vázquez-Campos, S. Cell uptake and oral absorption of titanium dioxide nanoparticles. *Toxicol. Lett.* 2014, 228, 103–110. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Xie, G.; Wang, C.; Sun, J.; Zhong, G. Tissue distribution and excretion of intravenously administered titanium dioxide nanoparticles. *Toxicol. Lett.* 2011, 205, 55–61. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Trouiller, B.; Reliene, R.; Westbrook, A.; Solaimani, P.; Schiestl, R.H. Titanium dioxide nanoparticles induce DNA damage and genetic instability in vivo in mice. *Cancer Res.* **2009**, *69*, 8784–8789. [CrossRef]
- 14. Becker, K.; Schroecksnadel, S.; Geisler, S.; Carriere, M.; Gostner, J.M.; Schennach, H.; Herlin, N.; Fuchs, D. TiO(2) nanoparticles and bulk material stimulate human peripheral blood mononuclear cells. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* **2014**, *65*, 63–69. [CrossRef]
- 15. Chen, E.Y.; Garnica, M.; Wang, Y.C.; Chen, C.S.; Chin, W.C. Mucin secretion induced by titanium dioxide nanoparticles. *PLoS* ONE **2011**, *6*, e16198. [CrossRef]
- 16. Chen, T.; Yan, J.; Li, Y. Genotoxicity of titanium dioxide nanoparticles. J. Food Drug Anal. 2014, 22, 95–104. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Saquib, Q.; Al-Khedhairy, A.A.; Siddiqui, M.A.; Abou-Tarboush, F.M.; Azam, A.; Musarrat, J. Titanium dioxide nanoparticles induced cytotoxicity, oxidative stress and DNA damage in human amnion epithelial (WISH) cells. *Toxicol. Vitr.* 2012, 26, 351–361. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Alinovi, R.; Goldoni, M.; Pinelli, S.; Campanini, M.; Aliatis, I.; Bersani, D.; Lottici, P.P.; Iavicoli, S.; Petyx, M.; Mozzoni, P. Oxidative and pro-inflammatory effects of cobalt and titanium oxide nanoparticles on aortic and venous endothelial cells. *Toxicol. Vitr.* 2015, 29, 426–437. [CrossRef]
- 19. Huerta-Garcia, E.; Perez-Arizti, J.A.; Marquez-Ramirez, S.G.; Delgado-Buenrostro, N.L.; Chirino, Y.I.; Iglesias, G.G.; Lopez-Marure, R. Titanium dioxide nanoparticles induce strong oxidative stress and mitochondrial damage in glial cells. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* **2014**, *73*, 84–94. [CrossRef]
- Magdolenova, Z.; Bilaničová, D.; Pojana, G.; Fjellsbø, L.M.; Hudecova, A.; Hasplova, K.; Marcomini, A.; Dusinska, M. Impact of agglomeration and different dispersions of titanium dioxide nanoparticles on the human related in vitro cytotoxicity and genotoxicity. J. Environ. Monit. 2012, 14, 455–464. [CrossRef]
- 21. Shukla, R.K.; Kumar, A.; Gurbani, D.; Pandey, A.K.; Singh, S.; Dhawan, A. TiO(2) nanoparticles induce oxidative DNA damage and apoptosis in human liver cells. *Nanotoxicology* **2013**, *7*, 48–60. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Fatisson, J.; Quevedo, I.R.; Wilkinson, K.J.; Tufenkji, N. Physicochemical characterization of engineered nanoparticles under physiological conditions: Effect of culture media components and particle surface coating. *Colloids Surf. B Biointerfaces* 2012, 91, 198–204. [CrossRef]
- 23. Dinesh, P.; Yadav, C.S.; Kannadasan, S.; Rasool, M. Cytotoxicity and immunomodulatory effects of sol-gel combustion based titanium dioxide (TiO2) particles of large surface area on RAW 264.7 macrophages. *Toxicol. Vitr.* **2017**, *43*, 92–103. [CrossRef]
- Aslantürk, Ö.S. In Vitro Cytotoxicity and Cell Viability Assays: Principles, Advantages, and Disadvantages; InTech: Sydney, Australia, 2018; Volume 2.
- Granger, D.L.; Taintor, R.R.; Boockvar, K.S.; Hibbs, J.B., Jr. Measurement of Nitrate and Nitrite in Biological Samples Using Nitrate Reductase and Griess Reaction. In *Methods in Enzymology*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1996; Volume 268, pp. 142–151.
- 26. Chen, Z.; Wang, Y.; Ba, T.; Li, Y.; Pu, J.; Chen, T.; Song, Y.; Gu, Y.; Qian, Q.; Yang, J. Genotoxic evaluation of titanium dioxide nanoparticles in vivo and in vitro. *Toxicol. Lett.* **2014**, *226*, 314–319. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Khanna, P.; Ong, C.; Bay, B.H.; Baeg, G.H. Nanotoxicity: An interplay of oxidative stress, inflammation and cell death. *Nanomaterials* **2015**, *5*, 1163–1180. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Romanello, M.B.; Fidalgo de Cortalezzi, M.M. An experimental study on the aggregation of TiO2 nanoparticles under environmentally relevant conditions. *Water Res.* 2013, 47, 3887–3898. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ji, Z.; Jin, X.; George, S.; Xia, T.; Meng, H.; Wang, X.; Suarez, E.; Zhang, H.; Hoek, E.M.; Godwin, H. Dispersion and stability optimization of TiO2 nanoparticles in cell culture media. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2010, 44, 7309–7314. [CrossRef]
- Jiang, J.; Oberdörster, G.; Biswas, P. Characterization of size, surface charge, and agglomeration state of nanoparticle dispersions for toxicological studies. J. Nanopart. Res. 2009, 11, 77–89. [CrossRef]
- Park, M.V.; Neigh, A.M.; Vermeulen, J.P.; de la Fonteyne, L.J.; Verharen, H.W.; Briedé, J.J.; van Loveren, H.; de Jong, W.H. The effect of particle size on the cytotoxicity, inflammation, developmental toxicity and genotoxicity of silver nanoparticles. *Biomaterials* 2011, 32, 9810–9817. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Feng, Q.; Liu, Y.; Huang, J.; Chen, K.; Huang, J.; Xiao, K. Uptake, distribution, clearance, and toxicity of iron oxide nanoparticles with different sizes and coatings. *Sci. Rep.* 2018, *8*, 2082. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Truong, L.; Zaikova, T.; Baldock, B.L.; Balik-Meisner, M.; To, K.; Reif, D.M.; Kennedy, Z.C.; Hutchison, J.E.; Tanguay, R.L. Systematic determination of the relationship between nanoparticle core diameter and toxicity for a series of structurally analogous gold nanoparticles in zebrafish. *Nanotoxicology* 2019, 13, 879–893. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Tarantini, A.; Lanceleur, R.; Mourot, A.; Lavault, M.-T.; Casterou, G.; Jarry, G.; Hogeveen, K.; Fessard, V. Toxicity, genotoxicity and proinflammatory effects of amorphous nanosilica in the human intestinal Caco-2 cell line. *Toxicol. Vitr.* **2015**, *29*, 398–407.

- Koeneman, B.A.; Zhang, Y.; Westerhoff, P.; Chen, Y.; Crittenden, J.C.; Capco, D.G. Toxicity and cellular responses of intestinal cells exposed to titanium dioxide. *Cell Biol. Toxicol.* 2010, *26*, 225–238. [PubMed]
- Peters, K.; Unger, R.E.; Kirkpatrick, C.J.; Gatti, A.M.; Monari, E. Effects of nano-scaled particles on endothelial cell function in vitro: Studies on viability, proliferation and inflammation. J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Med. 2004, 15, 321–325. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhang, A.-P.; Sun, Y.-P. Photocatalytic killing effect of TiO2 nanoparticles on Ls-174-t human colon carcinoma cells. World J. Gastroenterol. WJG 2004, 10, 3191.
- Asakura, H.; Kitahora, T. Antioxidants and Polyphenols in Inflammatory Bowel Disease: Ulcerative Colitis and Crohn Disease. In Polyphenols: Prevention and Treatment of Human Disease; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2018; pp. 279–292.
- 39. Younus, H. Therapeutic potentials of superoxide dismutase. Int. J. Health Sci. 2018, 12, 88.
- 40. Miller, D.J.; Fort, P.E. Heat shock proteins regulatory role in neurodevelopment. Front. Neurosci. 2018, 12, 821.
- 41. Lindquist, S.; Craig, E. The heat-shock proteins. Annu. Rev. Genet. 1988, 22, 631–677. [CrossRef]
- 42. Bigagli, E.; Lodovici, M. Circulating oxidative stress biomarkers in clinical studies on type 2 diabetes and its complications. *Oxidative Med. Cell. Longev.* **2019**, 2019, 5953685. [CrossRef]
- Krüger, K.; Cossais, F.; Neve, H.; Klempt, M. Titanium dioxide nanoparticles activate IL8-related inflammatory pathways in human colonic epithelial Caco-2 cells. J. Nanopart. Res. 2014, 16, 2402. [CrossRef]
- De Angelis, I.; Barone, F.; Zijno, A.; Bizzarri, L.; Russo, M.T.; Pozzi, R.; Franchini, F.; Giudetti, G.; Uboldi, C.; Ponti, J. Comparative study of ZnO and TiO2 nanoparticles: Physicochemical characterisation and toxicological effects on human colon carcinoma cells. *Nanotoxicology* 2013, 7, 1361–1372. [CrossRef]
- 45. Sharma, V.K. Aggregation and toxicity of titanium dioxide nanoparticles in aquatic environment—A review. *J. Environ. Sci. Health Part A* **2009**, *44*, 1485–1495. [CrossRef]
- Nogueira, C.M.; de Azevedo, W.M.; Dagli, M.L.Z.; Toma, S.H.; de Arruda Leite, A.Z.; Lordello, M.L.; Nishitokukado, I.; Ortiz-Agostinho, C.L.; Duarte, M.I.S.; Ferreira, M.A. Titanium dioxide induced inflammation in the small intestine. *World J. Gastroenterol. WJG* 2012, *18*, 4729. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Gurunathan, S.; Lee, K.-J.; Kalishwaralal, K.; Sheikpranbabu, S.; Vaidyanathan, R.; Eom, S.H. Antiangiogenic properties of silver nanoparticles. *Biomaterials* 2009, 30, 6341–6350. [CrossRef]
- Linares, P.M.; Chaparro, M.; Gisbert, J.P. Angiopoietins in inflammation and their implication in the development of inflammatory bowel disease. A review. J. Crohn's Colitis 2014, 8, 183–190. [CrossRef]
- 49. Risau, W.; Drexler, H.; Mironov, V.; Smits, A.; Siegbahn, A.; Funa, K.; Heldin, C.-H. Platelet-derived growth factor is angiogenic in vivo. *Growth Factors* 1992, 7, 261–266. [CrossRef]
- 50. Jo, D.H.; Kim, J.H.; Son, J.G.; Song, N.W.; Kim, Y.-I.; Yu, Y.S.; Lee, T.G.; Kim, J.H. Anti-angiogenic effect of bare titanium dioxide nanoparticles on pathologic neovascularization without unbearable toxicity. *Nanomed. Nanotechnol. Biol. Med.* **2014**, *10*, e1109–e1117. [CrossRef]
- 51. Walia, A.; Yang, J.F.; Huang, Y.-h.; Rosenblatt, M.I.; Chang, J.-H.; Azar, D.T. Endostatin's emerging roles in angiogenesis, lymphangiogenesis, disease, and clinical applications. *Biochim. Et Biophys. Acta (BBA)-Gen. Subj.* 2015, 1850, 2422–2438. [CrossRef]
- Kaneda, H.; Arao, T.; Matsumoto, K.; De Velasco, M.; Tamura, D.; Aomatsu, K.; Kudo, K.; Sakai, K.; Nagai, T.; Fujita, Y. Activin A inhibits vascular endothelial cell growth and suppresses tumour angiogenesis in gastric cancer. *Br. J. Cancer* 2011, 105, 1210–1217. [CrossRef]
- 53. Kitlinska, J.; Lee, E.W.; Li, L.; Pons, J.; Estes, L.; Zukowska, Z. Dual role of dipeptidyl peptidase IV (DPP IV) in angiogenesis and vascular remodeling. In *Dipeptidyl Aminopeptidases in Health and Disease*; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 2004; pp. 215–222.
- 54. Nishida, N.; Yano, H.; Nishida, T.; Kamura, T.; Kojiro, M. Angiogenesis in cancer. Vasc. Health Risk Manag. 2006, 2, 213. [CrossRef]