



Executive Summary

Emerging Rooibos Farmer Market Access Project



July 2011





CFAT, Sociology Dept., B245 Clark, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523, USA Sandra Kruger & Associates, 31 Pine Street, Pniel, 7681, South Africa PLAAS, 2nd Floor, Main Hall, UWC, Modderdam Road, Bellville 7535, South Africa

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OVERVIEW: problem-opportunity statement	3
Action plan	3
Primary stakeholders	4
Goals and objectives	5
ENGAGEMENT: tools	5
Components	6
IMPACT: structural problems	7
Leadership opportunity	7
RECOMMENDATIONS : key points	8
Conclusions	8
PROJECT TEAM	10

OVERVIEW: problem-opportunity statement

Global markets increasingly require rapid and coordinated response to standards and certification. Yet despite broad political transformations in post-Apartheid South Africa, structural power relations limit emerging farmer capacity to effectively access certified markets such as fairtrade and organic. Within the Rooibos commodity network, inequitable functioning has prevented emerging farmers from fully developing critical market-access skills and resources. While diverse groups have collaborated to achieve mutual interests, the cooperative building process has been marked by conflict. There is a need to involve producers in networks as this will help the industry to more effectively capture lucrative market opportunities. Successful community and emerging farmer network efforts are potential building blocks in which to inform further engagement. The South African Rooibos Council is working towards developing formal emerging farmer networking space as part of its Broad-based Black Economic Empowerment (BBBEE) portfolio. Diverse industry and organizational experts are increasingly invested in emerging communities and a core group has expressed interest in further collaboration. Commodity network efforts have been incrementally achieving goals, but more work needs to be done to ensure development sustainability and scalability.

Action plan: Our team initially engaged human capabilities to implement a farmer-leader-based approach to producer support. This strategy integrated training, participatory action research (PAR), and industry networking to develop the capacity necessary for effective participatory information sharing and action planning (PIE/PAP) at the community and industry levels.

Primary stakeholders: at project outset we invited the participation of all emerging farmers. Though estimates vary, there are approximately 353 emerging farmers currently operating in South Africa's smallholder Rooibos sector, with 295 residing in participating groupings.

Because of the size of Wupperthal and the remote location of many farmers, we based participant groupings on community location rather than producer grouping. In other areas, we engaged with producer associations which have subsequently become cooperatives. By project end, 13 farmer leaders representing seven groupings successfully completed an indepth training-of-trainers (ToT) program and Driefontein representatives attended two multi-day ToT sessions. ¹ 203 community members attended broader workshops. We ensured female involvement by maintaining a strong gender focus throughout the project: six of the 13 farmer leaders are women, as were approximately half of the community workshop attendees.

Table 1: Participating Communities

Region	Community/Farmer Groupings	
Wupperthal	1. Langkloof and Eselbank	
	2. Wupperthal, Langbome, Beukeskraal, and Nuweplaas	
	3. Kleinvlei, Agterstevlei, and Grasvlei	
	4. Suurrug, Menskraal, Heiveld, and Koueberg	
	5. Heuningvlei, Brugkraal, and Witwater	
Spanjaardskloof/Elim	6.Strandveld Tea Farmers Cooperative	
Nieuwoudtville	7. Rooikatstert Agriculture Cooperative	
	8. Independent Farmers	
Clanwilliam	9. Driefontein Small Farmers Primary Cooperative	

-

¹ 35 farmers comprise the Driefontein Small Farmers Primary Cooperative which joined the project at midterm. Thus we were unable to include this group in all project activities.

Goals and objectives: we synthesized numerous academic-practitioner goals to develop an integrated framework for project delivery.

Table 2: Project Goals and Objectives

	Academic	Practitioner
Goals	Engage PAR to problematize emerging	Develop farmer leadership via
	farmer conditions, problems, and	participatory market-access training and
	opportunities	support
Objectives	 Examine: Historical dimensions Organizational, industry, and farmer engagement Democratic, bureaucratic, and social dimensions including gender, class, race, and age Impact of certification efforts Determine: Structural conditions, problems, and opportunities Capabilities Likely points of intervention 	 Identify emerging farmer capabilities and needs Design and implement ToT program in conjunction with community workshops Develop training materials and farmers' guide to certification Expand institutional capacity of the South African Rooibos Council to provide an enabling environment Evaluate outcomes Develop recommendations Integrate good practices

ENGAGEMENT: tools

To synchronize ongoing involvement, we developed a New Methods model for holistic producer-industry support. Complementary conceptual tools frame this approach and may help inform policy research and engaged scholarship forums. These include (1) commodity network analysis, (2) socio-political theories of power, (3) the human capabilities approach, (4) PAR, (5) outcome-based adult education, and (6) grassroots development mechanisms. We synthesized commodity network analysis with socio-political theories to examine questions concerning democracy, bureaucracy, and power within the emerging Rooibos sector. Programmatically, we incorporated Amartya Sen's human capabilities approach, which engages the concept of capabilities to more effectively measure socio-economic wellbeing. PAR precepts informed activities to ensure stakeholder involvement

and input. In terms of training, we combined grassroots development mechanisms—such as capabilities community mapping—with outcome-based adult education to stimulate active learning, knowledge sharing, assessment, and goal achievement. While these conceptual tools are diverse, they are also complementary and synthesis evolved from reflexive application and pragmatic adaptation.

Components: we facilitated multiple activities throughout the project. Though each phase broadly informed the next, many activities were ongoing and/or overlapping. Action research data informed monitoring and evaluation, which included: 1) resource and capabilities assessment, 2) learner assessment, 3) training and activity evaluations, 4) midterm fieldwork, and 5) evaluation surveys.

Table 3: Project Outline

Phase	Activities and Outputs	Data Collection
Entry and	Invite project participation	Document analysis
information	Commodity network mapping	 Unstructured organizational
building	Capabilities workshops	and farmer interviews
	Leadership elections	 Participant observation
	Capabilities indicators	
Capacity building	Three multi-day ToT workshops with leaders	Participant observation
	 Modular toolbox of training material and 	Document analysis
	farmer guide to standards and certification	Semi-structured farmer
	 Leadership commodity networking activities 	leader and emerging farmer
	 PAR training and community fieldwork 	interviews
	 Leaders design and facilitate one workshop 	Semi-structured commodity
	Develop Emerging Farmer Working Group	network interviews
Evaluation	Emerging Rooibos farmer policy seminar	Participant observation
	Evaluate project outcomes and materials	Document analysis
	Set of academic-practitioner papers and	Leadership and community
	publications	project evaluation surveys

IMPACT: structural problems

The Wupperthal communities have been in disarray due to cooperative-based conflict. Although reasons for this are complex and disputed, research uncovered the following contributing factors: 1) poor communication at all levels, 2) lack of technical and management expertise, 3) minimal market knowledge, and 4) inadequate infrastructure—specifically in regards to roads and telecommunications. These challenges may at least partially stem from previously implemented projects which failed to fully invest in appropriate levels of capacity building. Participating farmer groups in other regions were small and organized but faced similar market-access concerns, including lack of industry-level representation and knowledge.

Leadership opportunity: this project created impact by investing in human capabilities. ToT-community workshop integration helped leaders develop and transfer critical knowledge:

100% of community members felt the leaders had good knowledge of the topics they presented in workshops, 82% said the leaders had provided feedback on the training they had received, 78% had received feedback on leader industry networking activities, and 65% said they would go to leaders for advice before approaching external experts.

Table 4: Leadership Training Topics

Production and Market Access	Leadership and Management	Participatory Action Research
Market research/strategies	Verbal /written communication	Socio-political theories
Standards and certification	Financial management	Research methods/ethics
Fairtrade/Organics/UTZ	Recordkeeping	Interviewing
Right Rooibos/biodiversity	Industry networking	Translation
Branding	Effective planning	Data analysis
Rooibos production	Public speaking/facilitation	Community needs
Food safety		assessment/evaluation

RECOMMENDATIONS: key points

Farmer leaders have developed an information sharing network that is focused on opportunity rather than conflict. They have been active in sharing market information in their communities to help clear up misunderstandings. Via research involvement, they have highlighted market-access problems and opportunities and have expressed interest in working with certification bodies to engage solutions to cooperative issues. Throughout the project, leaders have been enthusiastic, professional, and supportive of one another, with information exchange appropriately advancing in multiple directions. The Rooibos Council has expressed commitment to providing leaders with an enabling environment, and leaders are in the process of formalizing the Working Group. Thus we recommend the following:

Table 5: Key Recommendations

Farmers	 Wupperthal emerging farmers should work with certification bodies to seek solutions to ongoing bureaucratic challenges—for example, the potential of establishing a two-tier cooperative system Farmers should actively seek projects that invest in farmer and management
	capacity building and transportation/telecommunications infrastructure
Organizations	 Organizations should provide ongoing technical assistance to emerging farmers and include the leadership group in preliminary planning processes to ensure that projects deliver the services that farmers most need
Industry	 Industry representatives should collaborate with the leadership group in production planning and market development initiatives
All Groups	 Participatory information exchange and action planning may be used to expand collaboration and strengthen farmer participation in lucrative markets All groups should develop stronger communication networks with one another to improve the quality of support and maximize market potential

Conclusions: despite best intentions, development projects often reinforce a state of dependency in which people remain unable to take charge of their own livelihoods. At worst, projects may destabilize and/or demoralize already struggling communities. Active capacity building and equitable participation can help reverse this process, but to achieve

sustainability, historically disadvantaged communities must have ongoing access to resource and capacity building opportunities. Development forums highlight the importance of bringing farmers into projects as stakeholders rather than as beneficiaries who must passively receive pre-determined assistance; yet given agency constraints, involvement is all too often superficial.

Everyone makes mistakes, but with effective leadership, these become critical learning opportunities. As one research respondent stated "We must all admit we were wrong. When we start to admit we are wrong we can say we are so sorry. Then we can forgive each other and start the opportunity of a new beginning." Indeed, peace and reconciliation precepts lie at the heart of the South African nation-building process and these strategies may inform global conflict resolution discourse as well as localized action. Via action research the project team overcame numerous difficulties, including faulty communication, social divisions, and funding and time constraints. As a result, we learned from one another and more effectively achieved evolving project goals. We hope the Emerging Farmer Working Group will expand industry-network involvement and help producer communities realize their full market-access potential.

The project team thanks you for your ongoing support and interest.

Farmer Leaders (in alphabetical order)

Pierre Apollis, Spanjaardskloof, Elim
Malcolm Baard, Nieuwoudtville
Craig Bantom, Wupperthal Central, Wupperthal
Elize Farmer, Suurrug, Wupperthal
Adam Hoorns, Suurrug, Wupperthal
Sharmaine Kupido, Eselbank, Wupperthal
Eunice Marais, Spanjaardskloof, Elim
Elizabeth van Neel, Langkloof, Wupperthal
Hennie vd Westhuizen, Heuningvlei, Wupperthal
Jonene vd Westhuizen, Agterstevlei, Wupperthal
Berna-Leigh Veloen, Heuningvlei, Wupperthal
Rodger Witbooi, Eselbank, Wupperthal
Paul Zimri, Kleinvlei, Wupperthal

Project Investigators (in alphabetical order)

Andries du Toit, Principal Investigator, PLAAS
Jennifer Keahey, Co-Principal Investigator, CFAT
Sandra Kruger, Co-Principal Investigator, SKA
Douglas Murray, Co-Principal Investigator, CFAT
Laura Raynolds, Principal Investigator, CFAT
Lisa Ryser, Project Coordinator and Facilitator, SKA

Research and Programmatic Support (in alphabetical order)

Rohan Kruger, Field Research Assistant
Meghan Mordy, Technical Research Assistant, CFAT
Olivia Nober Williams, Office Administrator, SKA
Zaitun Rosenberg, Training Development and Accreditation Coordinator, SKA
Robert van Rooyen, Field Research Assistant
Marthane Swart, Technical Support, SKA

ToT and Networking Support (in alphabetical order)

Johan Brand, Technical Manager, Rooibos Ltd.
Sara Ives, Doctoral Candidate, Stanford University
Gerard Pretorius, Natura Libra Environmental Services/Right Rooibos Sustainability Initiative
Soekie Snyman, Portfolios' Coordinator, South African Rooibos Council
Nathi Tshabalala, Liaison Officer, FLO e.V.

©CFAT, SKA, PLAAS
July 2011
Colorado State University
Fort Collins